

# Proofs from Ring Theory

---

**Theorem 1:** Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with identity, and let  $U$  be the set of units in  $R$ . Then  $U$  is a group under multiplication of  $R$ .

*Proof:* To start,  $1 \in U$ , so  $U$  is nonempty. Now, let  $u_1, u_2 \in U$ . By definition of unit, there exist  $v_1, v_2 \in R$  such that  $u_1v_1 = 1$  and  $u_2v_2 = 1$ . Now, since  $R$  is abelian and associative,  $(u_1u_2)(v_1v_2) = (u_1u_2)(v_2v_1) = u_1(u_2v_2)v_1 = u_1v_1 = 1$ . Hence,  $u_1u_2 \in U$  and  $U$  is closed under multiplication of  $R$ . Now, the inverse of a unit is also a unit, so  $v_1 \in U$ . Hence,  $U$  is a group under multiplication of  $R$ . ■

**Theorem 2 (cancellation law):** Let  $D$  be an integral domain and let  $a, b, c \in D$  such that  $a \neq 0$ . If  $ab = ac$ , then  $b = c$ .

*Proof:* If  $ab = ac$ , then  $ab - ac = 0$ . By the distributive law of rings, it follows that  $a(b - c) = 0$ . Since  $D$  is an integral domain, then  $a$  is not a zero divisor. Thus,  $b - c = 0$ , and so  $b = c$ . ■

**Theorem 3:** If  $D$  is a finite integral domain, then  $D$  is a field.

*Proof:* Let  $x \in D$  such that  $x \neq 0$ . We must prove that  $x$  is a unit. Now, let  $f: D \rightarrow D$  be defined by  $f(d) = xd$  for some  $d \in D$ . Suppose  $d_1, d_2 \in D$  and  $f(d_1) = f(d_2)$ . Then  $xd_1 = xd_2$ , implying that  $d_1 = d_2$  by the cancellation law. Since  $f(d_1) = f(d_2)$  implies  $d_1 = d_2$ , then  $f$  is one-to-one. Since  $f$  maps a finite set onto itself, then by a previous theorem,  $f$  is onto as well, so  $1 = f(a)$  for some  $a \in D$ . It follows that  $ad = 1$ , so  $d$  has an inverse. Since we have shown that every nonzero element of  $D$  has an inverse, then  $D$  is a field. ■

**Theorem 4:** Let  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_n$  for some  $n \geq 2$ . If  $a$  is not a unit, then  $a$  must be a zero-divisor.

*Proof:* Let  $d = \gcd(a, n)$ . Since  $a$  is not a unit, then  $d > 1$ . Now, let  $a = da_1$  and  $n = dn_1$  for some integers  $a_1$  and  $n_1$  such that  $1 < a_1 < a < n$  and  $1 < n_1 < n$ . Then  $n_1a = n_1(da_1) = (n_1d)a_1 = (dn_1)a_1 = na_1$ . Now, it follows that  $a$  is divisible by  $n$ , so  $n_1a \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$ . This proves that  $a$  is a zero divisor. ■

Let  $R$  be a commutative ring such that  $a^2 = a$  for every  $a \in R$ . Show that  $a + a = 0$  every  $a \in R$ .

*Proof:* Let  $a \in R$ . Then  $a + a = (a + a)^2 = (a + a)(a + a) = a^2 + a^2 + a^2 + a^2 = a + a + (a + a)$ . Since  $a + a = a + a + (a + a)$ , then  $a + a$  is the additive identity, so  $a + a = 0$ . ■

Let  $R$  be the set of all continuous functions from the set of real numbers onto itself. Prove that  $R$  is a commutative ring under the addition and multiplication of functions.

*Proof:*  $R$  is obviously abelian since addition and multiplication are commutative operations. Also, the sums and products of two continuous functions are continuous, so associativity, closure, and the distributive law hold true for  $R$ . Now, the zero function is continuous, so  $R$  has an additive identity. The function  $\varphi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by  $\varphi(x) = 1$  is continuous, so  $R$  also has the multiplicative identity. Finally, any multiple of a continuous function is also continuous, so  $R$  has the additive inverse. Hence,  $R$  forms a commutative ring. ■

Let  $R$  and  $S$  be commutative rings with identity. Prove that the set  $R \oplus S = \{(r, s) | r \in R, s \in S\}$  forms a commutative ring with identity under the addition and multiplication of ordered pairs.

*Proof:* The set  $R \oplus S$  forms an abelian group since the group structure is similar to the abelian direct product group  $R \times S$ . It follows that  $R \oplus S$  is closed and associative under multiplication and has a multiplicative identity. To see that  $R \oplus S$  is closed under addition, let  $r_1, r_2 \in R$  and  $s_1, s_2 \in S$ . Now,  $(r_1, s_1) + (r_2, s_2) = (r_1 + r_2, s_1 + s_2) \in R \oplus S$ . To prove the associativity of addition, let  $r_1, r_2, r_3 \in R$  and  $s_1, s_2, s_3 \in S$ . Now,  $(r_1, s_1) + [(r_2, s_2) + (r_3, s_3)] = (r_1, s_1) + (r_2 + r_3, s_2 + s_3) = (r_1 + r_2 + r_3, s_1 + s_2 + s_3) = (r_1, s_1) + (r_2, s_2) + (r_3, s_3) = [(r_1, s_1) + (r_2, s_2)] + (r_3, s_3)$ . Now, since  $0 \in R$  and  $0 \in S$ , then  $R \oplus S$  has the additive identity element  $(0, 0)$ . Also, the distributive laws could easily be shown to hold for  $R \oplus S$  since they hold for addition and multiplication in the rings  $R$  and  $S$ . Hence,  $R \oplus S$  is a commutative ring with identity. ■

Let  $n$  be an integer such that  $n \geq 2$ . Prove that  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  is a commutative ring with identity.

*Proof:* We have already proven that  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  is an abelian group under addition. It follows that the associative, commutative, and closure properties hold for addition in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ . It can also be implied that  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  has both an additive identity and an additive inverse. We have also proven that modular multiplication is well-defined, so  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  is closed under multiplication as well. Now, let  $[a]_n, [b]_n \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ . By definition of modular multiplication,  $[a]_n \cdot [b]_n = [ab]_n = [ba]_n = [b]_n \cdot [a]_n$ , so multiplication in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  is commutative. Now, let  $[c]_n \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ . Then  $[a]_n \cdot ([b]_n \cdot [c]_n) =$

$[a]_n \cdot [bc]_n = [abc]_n = [ab]_n \cdot [c]_n = ([a]_n \cdot [b]_n) \cdot [c]_n$ , so multiplication in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  is associative. By definitions of modular addition and multiplication,  $[a]_n \cdot ([b]_n + [c]_n) = [a]_n \cdot [b + c]_n = [a(b + c)]_n = [ab + ac]_n = [ab]_n + [ac]_n = [a]_n \cdot [b]_n + [a]_n \cdot [c]_n$ . Also,  $([a]_n + [b]_n) \cdot [c]_n = [a + b]_n \cdot [c]_n = [(a + b) \cdot c]_n = [ac + bc]_n = [ac]_n + [bc]_n = [a]_n \cdot [c]_n + [b]_n \cdot [c]_n$ . We have just proven that the distributive law holds for  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ . Finally,  $[1]_n$  is a multiplicative identity for  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  since  $[a]_n \cdot [1]_n = [a \cdot 1]_n = [a]_n = [1 \cdot a]_n = [1]_n \cdot [a]_n$ . Hence,  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  is a commutative ring with identity. ■